

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

and a comment of

	#077A	ATTORNET SUCKET NO	
SERIAL NUMBER THUNG DE TOURS GRAY 08/487, 701 06/07/95 GRAY	J	028723-020	
HZ12/0301	MARSCHEL, A		
021839 BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P POST OFFICE BOX 1404			
ALEXANDRIA VA 22313-1404	1631	59	
	3213 MAILED	03/01/01	

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION		
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:		
or continues to run from the date of the final rejection		
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. The expires three months from the date of the final rejection.		
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate between the date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the The date on which the response, the petition, and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the response or as set forth in b) above.		
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).		
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a). Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed		
to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because.		
The proposed amendments to the seam and a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.		
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).		
 c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 		
2002		
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.		
NOTE:		
2. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment to entered the		
Claims allowed: 98-101 Claims objected to: 64 Claims rejected: 48, 49, 51-63, 65-69, 71-73, 76-86, 88-93, 95-97, and 102-104		
However: 71 Met Jala 74 has removed		
Claims rejected: 48, 49, 51-63, 65-69, 71-73, 76-86, 88-79, 11-73, 76-86, 88-79, 11-79, 76-86, 88-79, 11-79, 76-86, 88-79, 11-79, 76-86, 88-79, 11-79, 76-86, 88-79, 11-79, 76-86, 88-79, 11-79, 76-86, 88-79, 11-79, 76-86, 88-79, 11-79, 76-86, 88-79, 11-79		
4. A The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because		
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.		
☐ Cther ARDIN H. MARS(PRIMARY EXAM!		

ne/487.701